Dear Mr. MacLennan,

I must apologize for my yesterday's letter, which was written in a haste without my having looked through the paper masses, which have piled up during my leave. Today I think, I can give you a better budget estimate for 1963, but nevertheless there remain some question marks. I hope you can fill the gap. Of course, this budget is set up according to the present constitution of SCOR. Should there be any change during 1963 in favour of a larger representation of the National Committees, etc., the whole budget should be considered again.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. G. Böhnecke)

Copy to Dr. Humphrey
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance 1962</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation from UNESCO</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(See page 80 of the Proposed Programme and Budget for 1963/64; title 176)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(See page 80 as above, title 179, from which we could expect for SCOR perhaps $10,000.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Contributions</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSU Contribution to SCOR</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two SCOR Executive Meetings</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIth full SCOR Meeting</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting of WG Radioactivity</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting of WG Fisheries Oceanography</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting of WG Intercalibration</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretariat</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 54,000.00
Ref. 033.425
GFH/AM

Dr. G. Bohnecke,
36, Neuer Wall,
HAMBURG 36, GERMANY.

Dear Bohnecke,

Thank you for the copy of the draft agenda. It seems good to me.

I also received a copy of your letter of July 5 to MacLennan. I think the item of £ 35,000 suggested in the 1963/64 UNESCO budget must not appear. We do not know that it will be approved and on past experience the money will not be handled by us. I am sending a copy of this letter to MacLennan so he can delete it. Your expenditure suggestions are much more orderly than mine.

Yours sincerely,

\[\text{(G. F. HUMPHREY)}\]
\text{President}

Copy to Mr MacLennan.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance fwd. from 1962</td>
<td>Two SCOR Executive Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation from UNESCO</td>
<td>VIth Full SCOR Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(see page 80 of the Proposed Programme and</td>
<td>Meeting of WG Radioactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget for 1963/64; title 178)</td>
<td>Meeting of WG Fisheries Oceanography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Meeting of WG Intercalibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation from UNESCO (See page 80 as</td>
<td>Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>above, title 179, from which we could</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expect for SCOR perhaps? $7,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Contributions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSU Contribution to SCOR</td>
<td>Balance fwd. to 1964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $ 14,000                                   | $ 9,000                          |
| $ 10,000                                   | $ 9,000                          |
| $ 7,000                                    |                                  |
| $ 15,000                                   | $ 46,000                         |

| $ 46,000                                   | $ 46,000                         |
23rd August, 1962

Dr. G. Böhnecke,
34 Neuer Wall,
2 Hamburg 36

Dear Dr. Böhnecke,

This is another letter on the troublesome subject of the SCOR Budget for 1963. Following Dr. Wooster's letter to you on the clarification of the UNESCO Programme and Budget, I feel that we ought to alter the SCOR Budget somewhat drastically.

I enclose a Statement of what we might now consider and you will see that the only way I have balanced it at all is by reducing the expenditure. I feel that this is probably not unreasonable as we might well obtain a further contract from UNESCO similar to the one which they gave this year for the Intercalibration Tests. We cannot guarantee this, of course, but it is a reasonable guess.

Anyway, I should be glad if you would consider these figures and let me know whether or not this is a fair Statement to present to the Prague Meeting.

Up to this point, ICSU has not planned to give any money to SCOR in 1963. But if you consider that ICSU should do so, I should be grateful if you would send me a few lines on the scientific purposes for which it might be used. The Treasurer is keen to present what might be called "Supplementary Estimates" to the Executive Board with a scientific purpose in each case.

I look forward to your reply.

With kindest regards,

Yours sincerely,

A. S. MacLennan
Administrative Secretary
Dear Mr. MacLennan,

Thank you very much indeed for the troublesome work you had with SCOR's budget estimate for 1963. I think, for the time being we cannot do any more, that means you can present this paper to the Executive Board of ICSU at Prague, however, with some reservation.

1.) It may happen that the SCOR Executive at Paris decides to present some other proposals for Working Groups, etc.

2.) It seems to me that the estimated sum of $15,000.-- for National Contributions is too large. Even if USSR would perhaps pay $3,000.--, the total amount would not exceed the sum of $11,000.-- or $12,000.--.

3.) It should be stressed again that ICSU should do something for SCOR with regard to its finances. ICSU does support the Unions, it should do the same for SCOR as an inter-union committee, the more as the Unions themselves do not allocate any money to SCOR.

4.) Besides that we cannot expect that UNESCO-IOD has to bear the financial burden of the main lot of SCOR's expenses. This would produce a nearly complete dependency of SCOR on UNESCO.

SCOR has at present tasks of its own:

- a.) The question of oceanographic documentation, which is solved for physical oceanography, but not for the other disciplines. At least one meeting would be necessary to start with this task (say $6,000.--).

- b.) It may happen that a WG on Atlases has to be established to find out the general line to produce them (about $6,000.--).

- c.) At the last General Assembly of ICSU it was resolved that SCOR should take part in the GEBCO question. $10,000.-- would be necessary for the beginning.

Please look at the items 4. a, b, c, as a formal application, which the Treasurer may consider as "Supplementary Estimates" according to your letter of August 23, 1962.

Please bring with you the final audited budget of SCOR, January - December 1961, in 30 copies.

Please note that the Netherlands National Committee paid a contribution of B.f. 1000.--, which were transferred to the SCOR account 4137 a, being the sum of DM 1,105.10.

Yours sincerely,
21st November 1962

Dr. Günther Böhnecke.
Secretary.
International Council of Scientific Unions,
(SCOR)
34, Neuer Wall,
2 Hamburg 36,
Germany

Dear Dr. Böhnecke,

Many thanks for your letter of 13 November.

You ask me to give you some indication of the result of the budget discussions in Prague regarding SCOR. I hope that this answer will seem reasonable to you.

We have many demands on our money for 1963 – more than ever before in the history of ICSU. We have many new projects, most of which have no possibility whatever of raising income even up to the level which you achieve. Therefore we have been unable to allocate to SCOR anything at all from the bulk subvention from UNESCO to ICSU of £175.000.

However, there is a possibility that the UNESCO subvention may be increased next year, and if this happens (and the eventuality was fully discussed in Prague), SCOR will be allocated the sum of $5.000.

I hope that I will have good news for you in due course, but in any case I will keep you informed.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

cc. Dr. Humphrey
Mr. Laclavere

Signature of A.S. MacLennan
Dear Dr. Bühnecke:

In reference to your letter of 10 January, I am sorry that there continues to be a misunderstanding concerning the Unesco support for SCOR during 1963-64. The sum of $70,000 given in the Programme and Budget is not only for SCOR but also for all of the operations of the Commission. The support for SCOR will be at least $10,000 per year and possibly slightly higher if we find the money can be spared. During the next week the Marine Science Budget for 1963 will be approved in detail after which I can give you the exact figure for this year.

It should be noted that we also propose to spend additional funds which may be used on projects developed jointly with SCOR. For example, we are planning a second meeting of the Joint Panel on the Equation of State of Sea Water which will be held in Berkeley, 16 - 19 August 1963. I have also anticipated additional expenditures on the general problem of standardization and intercalibration, although the method of doing this must await the outcome of the SCOR meeting and we have foreseen funds for travel and related expenses of the disciplinary experts for the Indian Ocean Expedition. By the time of the SCOR meeting I will have had the chance to discuss the budget with the appropriate officials here and we will know better how to proceed with this and other related projects.

Yours sincerely,

Warren S. Wooster
Director
Office of Oceanography

Dr. G. Bühnecke
Secretary
Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research
34, Neuer Wall
Hamburg 36
Germany

cc: Dr. Humphrey
April 30, 1963.

Mr. A.S. MacLennan,
ICSU Secretariat,
2 Via Sebenico,
Rome, Italy.

Dear MacLennan:

I acknowledge receipt of your 651 of 22 April, enclosing two copies of UNESCO Contract NS/1690 for $12,500 for SCOR, 1963.

I have signed one copy and sent it to Dr. Wooster, and now return the second one to you.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

G. Laclavère,
Treasurer